

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/689,994	SATYAVOLU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	José A. Fortuna	1731	

All Participants:

(1) José A. Fortuna.

(2) Lawrence Chaletski.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 January 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called applicants attorney to propose an amendment in order to put the application incondition for allowance. Mr. Chaletski agreed with the changes as shown by the examiners amendment of January 10, 2005

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)